

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM LEE WRIGHT,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-03-0430 FCD PAN P

vs.

EDWARD S. ALAMEIDA, JR., et al.,

Respondents.

ORDER

_____/

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On July 13, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

Although it appears from the file that petitioner's copy of the findings and recommendations were returned, petitioner was properly served. It is the petitioner's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

1. The findings and recommendations filed July 13, 2005, are adopted in full; and
2. This action is dismissed without prejudice.

/s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr.
FRANK C. DAMRELL JR.
United States District Judge